

Math Homework

February 8, 2023

Problem 1: Basic Computations

These are boring, but its good to know how vectors and matrix vector products and derivatives work.

- $\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}$
- $\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 3 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 4 \\ 2 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$
- $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 3 & 4 \end{bmatrix}$
- $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 3 & 4 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$
- $\frac{d}{dx}(2 + 4x^2 + e^x)$

Problem 2: Linear Transformations

- Compute the column and null space of the linear transformation $A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 \\ -1 & 4 & 2 \\ 0 & 6 & 5 \end{bmatrix}$. Express your answer as the span of some vectors.
- For two linear transformations T_1 and T_2 , is $T_1(T_2(\mathbf{v})) = T_2(T_1(\mathbf{v}))$ always true for all \mathbf{v} ? Explain why, and assume there are no issues with domain/range stuff.
- If two linear transformations T_1 and T_2 satisfy $T_1(T_2(\mathbf{v})) = \mathbf{0}$ for all \mathbf{v} , does one of T_1 or T_2 have to be the linear transformation that maps all vectors to $\mathbf{0}$? Assume there are no issues with domain/range stuff.

Problem 3: Least Squares, Projection

- Compute x such that $\|Ax - b\|$ is minimized, where $A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 0 \\ -1 & 4 & 6 \\ 1 & 2 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$,
 $b = \begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ -1 \\ 5 \end{bmatrix}$, and the norm is the L2 norm.
- Using the previous question, compute the projection of b onto the the plane spanned by v_1 and v_2 , where $v_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$ and $v_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 4 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}$
- Using the previous parts, what is the distance from b to $\text{span}\{v_1, v_2\}$?

Problem 4

We mentioned during lecture that one of the caveats of OLS (ordinary least squares) was the assumption that our input matrix, X , is full rank. However, when the features of our data are close to collinear, X might lose rank or have singular values very close to 0. This means $(X^T X)^{-1}$ will have extremely large singular values resulting in abnormally high values in the optimal w solution (our parameters). However, there is a very simple solution for this!

$$\min_w \|\mathbf{X}\mathbf{w} - \mathbf{y}\|_2^2 + \lambda \|\mathbf{w}\|_2^2$$

By adding a penalty term with a fixed small scalar $\lambda > 0$ (this is a hyperparameter!), we can prevent w from becoming too large. Make sure you understand why this is the case.

In lecture we defined our OLS loss function to be:

$$L(w) = \|\mathbf{X}\mathbf{w} - \mathbf{y}\|_2^2$$

Our new loss function with the penalty term is:

$$\|\mathbf{X}\mathbf{w} - \mathbf{y}\|_2^2 + \lambda \|\mathbf{w}\|_2^2$$

Using vector calculus, derive the optimal solution w for the ridge regression loss function. (hint: calculate the gradient!). Also, explain how we might tune the λ hyperparameter to find the best solution.